

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,936	11/05/2001	Robert F. Kaiko	200.1102CP2	9880
## ## O	7590 02/02/200 DAVIDSON & KAPPE	EXAMINER		
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR			FAY, ZOHREH A	
NEW YORK, NY 10018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/992,936	KAIKO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zohreh A. Fay	1618	: :
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuant Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			‡
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			: -
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		tters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,8-10,12-27,29-32 and 35</u> -4 <u>5</u> is/a	are pending in the applicat	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,8-10,12-27,29-32 and 35-45</u> is/a	are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		·
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	-	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	In priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in	Application No	•
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have bee	n received in this National	Stage
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)		O (DTO 112)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application	· ·

Application/Control Number: 09/992,936

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 1, 3, 8-10, 12-27, 29-32 and 35-45 are presented for examination.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 8-10, 12-27, 29-32 and 35-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed invention is directed to the phrases of "an opioid agonist" and "an opioid antagonist". The specification discloses examples of structures of some compounds within the scope of what is claimed. However, there is no evidence that there is any per se structure/function relationship between disclosed opioid agonists, opioid antagonists and any others that might be found using the claimed method. Structural identifying characteristics of group of opioid agonists, opioid antagonists are not disclosed.

Therefore, the claimed invention is not supported by an adequate written description.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,936

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 1, 3, 8-10, 12-27, 29-31 and 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-55 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,375,957. This is a double patenting rejection.

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant's arguments directed to amounts and the effects elicited appear directed to process limitations while a composition is being claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,936

Art Unit: 1618

Z.F

